

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,975	05/03/2001	Kuang Wu	AMT10001US	8758	
7:	590 08/01/2002				
SPENCER S.	CHEN	EXAMINER			
38 DOCKSIDE	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BUSHEY, CHARLES S			
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94065-1770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	J .	Applicant(s)					
		09/848,975		WU ET AL.	, -				
Office Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit					
. , , ,	-	Scott Bushey	,	1724					
Th MAILING DATE	of this communication a				dr ss				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a) This action is FINAL	. 2b)□ 1	This action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
, ,,	4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) is/are	-								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	-	or alaction requir	ament		ļ				
8) Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed or	-		ected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (3) Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/848,975

Art Unit: 1724

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 261, subclass 114.4.
- II. Claims 17-19, drawn to a kit, classified in class 261, subclass 114.2.
- III. Claims 20-29, drawn to a system, classified in class 202, subclass 158.
- IV. Claims 30-38, drawn to a process, classified in class 29, subclass 509.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects in that invention II includes instructions for optimizing placement of a microdisperser within a tray.
- Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and different effects in that invention III includes inlet downcomers, which do not exist in the device of invention I.
- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 09/848,975

Art Unit: 1724

product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as trading out existing valve equipped trays for other trays pre-equipped with valves of a different type.

Page 3

- Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects in that invention II includes instructions for optimizing placement of a microdisperser within a tray.
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one which does not include instructions for optimizing placement of a microdisperser within a tray.
- 7. Inventions IV and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as one which does not include inlet downcomers.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/848,975

Art Unit: 1724

9. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figs. 7A-7E, 11C, 12B, 12C;

Species B: Figs. 10A-10E;

Species C: Fig. 11A;

Species D: Fig. 11B;

Species E: Fig. 12A;

Species F: Figs. 12D, 12F;

Species G: Fig. 12E;

Species H: Fig. 12G;

Species I: Figs. 17A, 17B, 18A-18D; and

Species J: Figs. 20A, 20B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 17, 20, and 30 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/848,975

Art Unit: 1724

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (703) 308-3581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1724

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb July 30, 2002

7-30-02